DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION

Interim Final 2/5/99 RCRA Corrective Action

Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750)

Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control

racinty Name:	Atlantic Aviation Corporation
Facility Address:	New Castle County Airport, Wilmington, DE 19899
Facility EPA ID #	DED 011028438
groundwate (SWMU), I	idable relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to the er media, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste Management Units Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been considered in this EI determination? If yes - check here and continue with #2 below. If no - re-evaluate existing data, or if data are not available skip to #6 and enter"IN" (more information needed) status code.

BACKGROUND

Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

Definition of "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI

A positive "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that the migration of "contaminated" groundwater has stabilized, and that monitoring will be conducted to confirm that contaminated groundwater remains within the original "area of contaminated groundwater" (for all groundwater "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI pertains ONLY to the physical migration (i.e., further spread) of contaminated ground water and contaminants within groundwater (e.g., non-aqueous phase liquids or NAPLs). Achieving this EI does not substitute for achieving other stabilization or final remedy requirements and expectations associated with sources of contamination and the need to restore, wherever practicable, contaminated groundwater to be suitable for its designated current and future uses.

Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

Page 2

2.	"levels" (i.e., app	known or reasonably suspected to be "contaminated" above appropriately protective blicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, eria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action, anywhere at, or from, the facility?
		If yes - continue after identifying key contaminants, citing appropriate "levels," and referencing supporting documentation.
	X	If no - skip to #8 and enter "YE" status code, after citing appropriate "levels," and referencing supporting documentation to demonstrate that groundwater is not "contaminated."
		If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s):

Past releases due to operator error at the facility occurred in the Fuel Tank Farm area, which includes four underground storage tanks (USTs). Activities were undertaken immediately after each event to contain and cleanup the releases.

Atlantic Aviation conducted a voluntary soil and groundwater investigation in the area of the fuel spill in1996. The results showed that the groundwater concentrations of volatile organic compounds/semi-volatile organic compounds (VOCs/SVOCs) were below applicable levels, namely Maximum Contaminant Levels (MCLs) and Risk Based Concentrations (RBCs). However, the sampling did show elevated levels of total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPHs) in the immediate area of the Fuel Tank Farm where the release occurred. Any further investigation or remediation needed concerning this issue has been deferred to DNREC's Underground Storage Tank Branch for oversight. For more information about the investigations, please see the "Site Investigation - Atlantic Aviation Fuel Farm" dated July 1996.

Based on the field investigation and information submitted by the facility concerning past waste practices, EPA has determined that no further corrective action is necessary at this time under the federal RCRA Corrective Action program at the facility. The public comment period on the draft proposal ended on February 26, 2001 and no comments were received. Recently, the entire facility was sold by AAC to Dassault Falcon Jet - Wilmington Corporation, effective October 23, 2000. However, AAC retains the environmental liability for the site.

Footnotes:

"Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriate "levels" (appropriate for the protection of the groundwater resource and its beneficial uses).

Page 3

3.	expected to rema	on of contaminated groundwater stabilized (such that contaminated groundwater is an within "existing area of contaminated groundwater" as defined by the monitoring ated at the time of this determination)?
		If yes - continue, after presenting or referencing the physical evidence (e.g., groundwater sampling/measurement/migration barrier data) and rationale why contaminated groundwater is expected to remain within the (horizontal or vertical) dimensions of the "existing area of groundwater contamination" ²).
		If no (contaminated groundwater is observed or expected to migrate beyond the designated locations defining the "existing area of groundwater contamination" ²) - skip to #8 and enter "NO" status code, after providing an explanation.
		If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.
	Rationale and Re	eference(s):

"existing area of contaminated groundwater" is an area (with horizontal and vertical dimensions) that has been verifiably demonstrated to contain all relevant groundwater contamination for this determination, and is defined by designated (monitoring) locations proximate to the outer perimeter of "contamination" that can and will be sampled/tested in the future to physically verify that all "contaminated" groundwater remains within this area, and that the further migration of "contaminated" groundwater is not occurring. Reasonable allowances in the proximity of the monitoring locations are permissible to incorporate formal remedy decisions (i.e., including public participation) allowing a limited area for natural attenuation.

4.	Does "contaminated" groundwater discharge into surface water bodies?		
		If yes - continue after identifying potentially affected surface water bodies.	
		If no - skip to #7 (and enter a "YE" status code in #8, if #7 = yes) after providing an explanation and/or referencing documentation supporting that groundwater "contamination" does not enter surface water bodies.	
		If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.	
	Rationale and Re	eference(s):	

maxii appro disch	charge of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water likely to a concentration of each contaminant discharging into surface water te groundwater "level," and there are no other conditions (e.g., the ng contaminants, or environmental setting), which significantly include impacts to surface water, sediments, or eco-systems at these contaminants.	er is less than 10 times their e nature, and number, of crease the potential for
	If yes - skip to #7 (and enter "YE" status code in #8 if #7 the maximum known or reasonably suspected concentration discharged above their groundwater "level," the value of there is evidence that the concentrations are increasing; a professional judgement/explanation (or reference documed discharge of groundwater contaminants into the surface with unacceptable impacts to the receiving surface water, seding	on ³ of <u>key</u> contaminants the appropriate "level(s)," and if nd 2) provide a statement of entation) supporting that the vater is not anticipated to have
	If no - (the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater into significant) - continue after documenting: 1) the maximum suspected concentration ³ of <u>each</u> contaminant discharged the value of the appropriate "level(s)," and if there is evic increasing; and 2) for any contaminants discharging into greater than 100 times their appropriate groundwater "lev (mass in kg/yr) of each of these contaminants that are bei surface water body (at the time of the determination), and the amount of discharging contaminants is increasing.	n known or reasonably above its groundwater "level," dence that the concentrations are surface water in concentrations ³ rels," the estimated total amount ng discharged (loaded) into the
Ratio	If unknown - enter "IN" status code in #8.	

As measured in groundwater prior to entry to the groundwater-surface water/sediment interaction (e.g., hyporheic) zone.

Page 6

6.	acceptable" (i.e.,	e of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water be shown to be " currently not cause impacts to surface water, sediments or eco-systems that should not be allowed a final remedy decision can be made and implemented ⁴)?
		If yes - continue after either: 1) identifying the Final Remedy decision incorporating these conditions, or other site-specific criteria (developed for the protection of the site's surface water, sediments, and eco-systems), and referencing supporting documentation demonstrating that these criteria are not exceeded by the discharging groundwater; OR 2) providing or referencing an interim-assessment, ⁵ appropriate to the potential for impact, that shows the discharge of groundwater contaminants into the surface water is (in the opinion of a trained specialists, including ecologist) adequately protective of receiving surface water, sediments, and eco-systems, until such time when a full assessment and final remedy decision can be made. Factors which should be considered in the interimassessment (where appropriate to help identify the impact associated with discharging groundwater) include: surface water body size, flow, use/classification/habitats and contaminant loading limits, other sources of surface water/sediment contamination, surface water and sediment sample results and comparisons to available and appropriate surface water and sediment "levels," as well as any other factors, such as effects on ecological receptors (e.g., via bio-assays/benthic surveys or site-specific ecological Risk Assessments), that the overseeing regulatory agency would deem appropriate for making the EI determination.
		If no - (the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater can not be shown to be " currently acceptable ") - skip to #8 and enter "NO" status code, after documenting the currently unacceptable impacts to the surface water body, sediments, and/or eco-systems.
		If unknown - skip to 8 and enter "IN" status code.
	Rationale and Ref	ference(s):

Note, because areas of inflowing groundwater can be critical habitats (e.g., nurseries or thermal refugia) for many species, appropriate specialist (e.g., ecologist) should be included in management decisions that could eliminate these areas by significantly altering or reversing groundwater flow pathways near surface water bodies.

⁵ The understanding of the impacts of contaminated groundwater discharges into surface water bodies is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration to be reasonably certain that discharges are not causing currently unacceptable impacts to the surface waters, sediments or eco-systems.

Page 7

7.	necessary) be co	r monitoring / measurement data (and surface water/sediment/ecological data, as llected in the future to verify that contaminated groundwater has remained within the rtical, as necessary) dimensions of the "existing area of contaminated groundwater?"
		If yes - continue after providing or citing documentation for planned activities or future sampling/measurement events. Specifically identify the well/measurement locations which will be tested in the future to verify the expectation (identified in #3) that groundwater contamination will not be migrating horizontally (or vertically, as necessary) beyond the "existing area of groundwater contamination."
		If no - enter "NO" status code in #8.
		If unknown - enter "IN" status code in #8.
	Rationale and Re	eference(s):

Page 8

<u>X</u>	YE - Yes, "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater verified. Based on a review of the information contar determination, it has been determined that the "Migrater Groundwater" is "Under Control" at the Atlantic Avite EPA ID # DED011028438, located at the New Castle Wilmington, DE. Specifically, this determination in "contaminated" groundwater is under control, and the conducted to confirm that contaminated groundwater "existing area of contaminated groundwater" This deep evaluated when the Agency becomes aware of significant significant contaminated groundwater is groundwater.	ined in this EI ation of Contaminated ation Corporation facility, e County Airport in dicates that the migration of at monitoring will be remains within the termination will be re-
	NO - Unacceptable migration of contaminated grou IN - More information is needed to make a determin	•
Completed by	(signature) (print) Jennifer L. Shoemaker (title) Remedial Project Manager	Date 12-14-01
Supervisor	(signature) (print) Robert E. Greaves (title) Chief, General Operations Branch (EPA Region or State) EPA Region 3	Date <u>01-02-02</u>
Locations when	re References may be found:	
United States E 1650 Arch Stre Philadelphia, P		
Contact telephor	ne and e-mail numbers	
(name (phone		